Light in the Information Field: Physics, Philosophy, and The Logos

(An Academic Exposition and Theological Analysis)

S C SAYLES

Abstract (Expanded)

Light has never been a merely physical question; it is the oldest metaphor of knowledge and the most persistent mystery of physics. In *Light in the Information Field: Physics, Philosophy, and The Logos*, S. C. Sayles argues that illumination is not symbolic but structural: the cosmos is intelligible because it is illuminated from within by the divine Word. The paper that follows examines this claim in the wider arc of his Logos-centred corpus, showing how Sayles unites theological, metaphysical, and scientific inquiry into one continuous act of contemplation.

The essay proceeds from three intertwined convictions. First, that **light is the primary analogue of being**—that every form discloses itself only by participating in illumination. Second, that **information is not inert**: it is the communicative medium of creation, the "field" (*IΔF*) in which divine ideas assume measurable coherence. Third, that **The Logos is the ontological constant** underlying every physical constant, the ground of both order and intelligibility.

Through close engagement with Sayles's own formulations—"*Light is not the decoration of creation but its grammar*"; "*The aether died in experiment, but the need for a substrate lived on*"; "*The photon does not travel through space; it reveals that space is already luminous*"—this study traces how his theology of light restores unity between revelation and radiation, faith and physics, thought and form. The implications extend from cosmology to anthropology: if reality itself is luminous speech, then consciousness is the ear of that speech, and ethics the resonance of hearing truly.

By situating Sayles within the historical conversation from Heraclitus to Wheeler, Augustine to Aquinas, Einstein to Bohm, this exposition demonstrates that *Light in the Information Field* does not invent a new cosmology but recovers the oldest one: the world as utterance. Creation, in this vision, is not a mechanism but a proclamation; light is the medium of divine self-expression, and knowledge its reception. To study light is therefore to participate in revelation, and to perceive is to join the chorus of being that declares, *"The Logos was made visible."*

---

1 Introduction – Light as the Grammar of Reality

When S. C. Sayles opens *Light in the Information Field* with the statement, "*Light is not the decoration of creation but its grammar,*" he does more than craft a metaphor; he re-anchors ontology in language. The remark signals that reality is not a random configuration of particles but a sentence composed by The Logos. The coherence of the universe is linguistic before it is mechanical. Grammar, after all, is relation: the invisible order that binds words into meaning. To call light grammar is to say that illumination is relational intelligibility itself—the way creation speaks its Creator.

Sayles's premise is radical precisely because it is ancient. From Genesis to John, light has been the first predicate of divine speech: *"Let there be light"* and *"The Light shineth in darkness."* Classical theology regarded that radiance not as ornament but as ontology—the visible form of divine reason. Medieval thinkers, echoing Dionysius the Areopagite, described all being as participation in the *lumen divinum*. Modern physics, in turn, discovered that light governs every measurement of motion, time, and mass. Sayles unites these trajectories by declaring that physical illumination and metaphysical illumination are one act of the same Word.

"*The physicist and the prophet both trace the same radiance; one calls it energy, the other calls it glory.*"

Here Sayles collapses the false dichotomy between empirical and spiritual knowing. Light, for him, is revelation rendered measurable. The photon and the logos share a single vocation—to make meaning visible. Theological exegesis and physical experiment thus become two modes of lectio: the reading of divine order in creation.

He reminds the reader that the modern disenchantment of science began with a subtraction. When nineteenth-century physics abandoned the aether as unobservable, it also abandoned metaphysical continuity. The cosmos became dynamic but mute. Sayles regards that moment as a moral parable:

"*The aether died in experiment, but the need for a substrate lived on. The field replaced it, and in doing so confessed what physics dared not name —that emptiness is structure.*"

This sentence encapsulates his critique of materialism and his recovery of ontology. The supposed vacuum is not nothing; it is ordered absence, relational potential, structured receptivity—the created echo of divine omnipresence. In this sense, the field is the modern name for what theologians once called *the sustaining Word*. By re-reading physics through this lens, Sayles does not sacralise science; he restores its intelligibility.

Light becomes the bridge across every modern divide: between reason and revelation, subject and object, fact and meaning. For Sayles, illumination is not an effect within the universe but the condition of its existence. The “information field” is the created analogue of divine communication, the medium through which coherence flows. His project is therefore neither mystical nor mechanistic but incarnational: the Logos expressed as luminosity.

“*The same Word that said ‘Let there be light’ continues to say it. Were that speech to cease, photons would vanish, and with them, perception.*”

This declaration establishes the covenantal nature of physics. The constancy of light’s speed is, for Sayles, a sacrament of faithfulness—a physical analogue of divine immutability. The universe remains stable because the Word does not withdraw His speech. Here the theological and the mathematical intertwine: what Maxwell expressed in equations, Scripture had already confessed in praise.

Sayles situates his thesis within a lineage that runs from Heraclitus’s *Logos as Fire* to Einstein’s *constancy of c*. Yet his aim is not historical synthesis but ontological recovery. The “grammar of reality” must again be read as theology; without it, both philosophy and science descend into noise. Hence his insistence that:

“*To speak of information without a mind is to whisper of law without a lawgiver. Energy obeys because energy remembers.*”

Memory, in this metaphysical context, denotes continuity—the persistence of meaning through change. The laws of nature are the habits of divine memory, the faithfulness of The Logos recorded in mathematics. Every equation is a covenantal signature.

The introduction closes by turning the reader from theory to vision. If light is grammar, then perception is literacy. Humanity’s task is not to manufacture meaning but to read what already shines. Sayles writes:

“*The cosmos is Scripture written in frequencies; physics is the exegesis of radiance.*”

With this statement, *Light in the Information Field* establishes its programme for all that follows: the reconciliation of knowledge and worship, the unification of the seen and the unseen. The essay that follows will unfold this vision across metaphysics, theology, and consciousness—showing that illumination is both the medium and the message of creation.

2 From Aether to Information: The Quest for the Substrate

The history of physics may be read as a progressive stripping away of visible supports. Each century seemed to remove one more “substance” until only relation remained. Sayles’s argument, however, is that this apparent dematerialisation is not nihilistic but revelatory: as matter is refined into mathematics, the hidden intelligibility of creation begins to shine through. The modern field is not a denial of substance but a confession of dependence. In his own words,

“*Each equation that excludes substance reintroduces it under a new symbol. What vanishes as matter returns as mathematics.*”

2.1 The Aether as Lost Symbol

To understand what Sayles restores, one must recall what was lost. The classical aether was not simply a mechanical medium; it was the vestige of metaphysical realism. In the seventeenth century, when Newton described space as the “sensorium of God,” he was echoing a worldview in which physical and divine presence were not yet divorced. The aether provided continuity; it ensured that light was not an isolated event but a vibration in a pervasive medium. When Michelson and Morley failed to detect its motion in 1887, the experiment symbolically exiled metaphysics from physics.

Sayles reads that historical moment as a turning point in the cultural imagination:

“*When the aether was declared dead, presence itself was pronounced absent. Yet the equations that survived its funeral still behaved as though something unseen carried coherence.*”

For him, the aether’s disappearance inaugurated a paradox. Einstein’s relativity replaced substance with geometry, but geometry still required order; quantum mechanics replaced trajectory with probability, yet probability still required pattern. What had been called “matter” or “medium” returned as mathematical invariance—evidence that relation, not materiality, is the true bearer of continuity.

2.2 Einstein, Maxwell, and the Hidden Continuum

Sayles never treats modern science as enemy; rather, he reads it typologically. Maxwell’s field equations, uniting electricity, magnetism, and light, are interpreted as “the first hymns of the modern age—equations that sing of unity.” Einstein’s constancy of the speed of light (c) is, in Sayles’s theology, a sacrament of fidelity:

“*Light moves through the void as through covenant. Its constancy is not constraint but faithfulness.*”

Such language does not romanticise physics; it clarifies its metaphysical implication. The invariance of light's speed means that the universe is woven together by relation independent of the observer's motion—a physical image of divine impartiality. Every frame of reference, every local perspective, is reconciled in a higher invariance. Sayles calls this "the moral of relativity": that truth transcends the observer yet accommodates him.

2.3 Quantum Revelation

When twentieth-century physics turned from relativity to quantum mechanics, light revealed an even deeper duality: particle and wave, discreteness and continuity. Sayles interprets this not as contradiction but as icon.

"*The photon is the visible parable of unity in distinction. It is neither particle nor wave but the conversation between them.*"

This "conversation" mirrors Trinitarian ontology—difference held in perfect coherence. What physicists describe as superposition, theologians recognise as perichoresis: interpenetrating presence without confusion. The field, therefore, is not an abstraction but a reflection of divine relationality.

He extends this theological reading by suggesting that quantum indeterminacy is not chaos but humility:

"*Creation retains mystery as reverence retains silence. The uncertainty principle guards transcendence within immanence.*"

The indeterminacy of subatomic events is a physical reminder that creation remains open to the freedom of its Author.

2.4 Information as the New Substrate

In the second half of the twentieth century, information theory—initiated by Claude E. Shannon and extended by John A. Wheeler—offered a new foundation for reality. Sayles receives this not as novelty but as rediscovery. Wheeler's phrase "It from Bit" becomes, in his reading, "Being from Meaning." He writes:

"*To say 'It from Bit' is to confess the world as sentence. Each particle is a word whose sense is preserved in the memory of The Logos.*"

Information, therefore, replaces the mechanical aether not by erasing substance but by revealing its true form: intelligibility. The informational field ($I\Delta F$) is the universal medium through which divine reason becomes measurable coherence. Every field equation, every quantum interaction, is a syllable of that ongoing speech.

Sayles is careful to guard against the reduction of information to abstraction. He distinguishes between data and meaning:

"*Information without interpretation is static; interpretation without intention is error. Only in The Logos do data and meaning coincide.*"

Thus, the informational ontology is inseparable from theology. The laws of physics are expressions of divine intentionality, not autonomous principles. Light propagates because The Logos communicates; energy obeys because energy participates in order.

2.5 The Return of the Substrate

By the close of *Light in the Information Field*, the circle is complete. The aether, expelled as superstition, returns transfigured as the information field—immaterial yet substantial, invisible yet universal. Sayles observes:

"*The field is the new aether, freed from material pretence but retaining metaphysical necessity. It is the medium of meaning, the place where form touches will.*"

The significance of this return cannot be overstated. It marks a reconciliation between classical metaphysics and modern physics, between ontology and mathematics. The substrate that science denied and theology mourned is recovered as relation itself—the relational being of creation in The Logos.

2.6 Theological Implications

Sayles's retrieval of the substrate is not nostalgia for pre-modern cosmology but an act of theological realism. The created order, he insists, must possess continuity because its Creator is faithful. To imagine a universe of disconnected particles is to imagine a fragmented word. The field, by contrast, is discourse—every element meaningful because it belongs to syntax. He summarises:

"*Without the field, creation would be a grammar without conjunctions; with it, every noun of being is joined by divine and.*"

This "and" is the mark of grace within ontology—the refusal of isolation. It means that all existence is participation, and every form of knowledge a mode of communion.

Sayles's reader thus discovers that the quest for the substrate was, from the beginning, a quest for coherence—an intellectual pilgrimage from substance to sense. What began as experiment ends as exegesis: the deciphering of divine constancy in the languages of energy and form.

3 The Ontology of Light in the Information Field

When Sayles writes that

"*The photon does not travel through space; it reveals that space is already luminous,*"

he overturns four centuries of inherited habit. Since Newton, light has been treated as an object of motion; Sayles insists that it is instead the manifestation of relation. The photon does not traverse a void—it discloses that no such void exists. Space is not the container of light; it is the expression of light's order. This reversal defines the core of the Information Field Ontology, or IΔF, which Sayles presents not as speculative physics but as metaphysical realism renewed.

3.1 The Field as Medium of Presence

In classical thought, *form* was always prior to matter: Aristotle's *energeia*, Aquinas's *forma subsistens*, and Augustine's *rationes aeternae* all implied that actuality precedes extension. Sayles's field revives this intuition under informational language. The IΔF is "the medium of presence," a continuum of communicative order in which energy and meaning coincide. As he writes:

"*Energy is the visible handwriting of information; form is its legible syntax. The field is the parchment on which creation is inscribed.*"

The image is deliberately scriptural. Creation is neither chaos nor clockwork but discourse—an intelligible utterance sustained by The Logos. Every physical event, from photon emission to gravitational curvature, is an act of articulation. The cosmos is grammatical being.

3.2 Information and Form

Sayles is careful to distinguish "information" from mere data. Information, in his ontology, is *that which gives form by relation.* It is active, causal, and participatory—closer to Aristotelian *morphē* than to Shannon's quantitative measure. He writes:

"*Information is the bridge between the possible and the actual, the translation of intention into manifestation.*"

Thus, information is creative word. When Scripture declares, *"By the word of the Lord were the heavens made,"* it names not a metaphorical process but a metaphysical law: divine information becoming energetic structure. Sayles interprets the Genesis command *"Let there be light"* as the primal

transmission in which intelligibility entered extension—the inauguration of the field itself.

3.3 Light as Syntax and Semantics

Sayles's analogy between language and physics is not decorative but precise. He writes:

"*What we call a particle is a punctuation of meaning in the sentence of being. Light is syntax.*"

A photon, then, is both event and connector—a rhythmic conjunction joining points of coherence. The wave-particle duality becomes an oscillation between noun and verb, substance and act. Light gives grammar to existence; it defines relation without erasing distinction. Through this metaphor, Sayles unites ontology and semiotics, grounding the philosophy of sign in creation itself. The cosmos becomes the original text in which signifier and signified are reconciled in The Logos.

This linguistic model anticipates the insights of Charles Peirce and later structuralists who saw meaning as relational rather than intrinsic. Yet for Sayles, relationality is not arbitrary; it is covenantal. Relations hold because The Logos holds them. Signification is secured by sanctity.

3.4 The Metaphysics of Coherence

Sayles's field theory proposes that coherence is the primary mode of being. Where materialism asks how order emerges from chaos, Sayles inverts the question: chaos is a perception of partial order; order is ontological primacy. He states:

"*Disorder is the shadow of limited resolution; nothing truly incoherent can exist, for existence itself is coherence sustained.*"

This assertion echoes the scholastic principle *ens et verum convertuntur*—being and truth are convertible. To exist is to be intelligible. In the IΔF model, every physical law is a statement of relational consistency, every field equation a record of the Logos's fidelity. Thus, entropy measures not the decay of meaning but its diffusion; even in decay, pattern remains the witness of coherence.

3.5 From Energy to Meaning: Reframing Physics

Sayles's ontology does not replace physics but interprets it. He observes that modern physics speaks the language of information without confessing its metaphysical source. Quantum entanglement, non-local correlations, and holographic models all imply that reality behaves as though it were informationally unified. Sayles calls this "the unwitting theology of science." He writes:

"*Every equation of physics hides a liturgy; every constant is a creed of coherence.*"

In this reading, Planck's constant (h), the gravitational constant (G), and the speed of light (c) function as sacraments—fixed ratios that testify to the invariance of divine reason within dynamic creation. They are not arbitrary parameters but signatures of authorship.

3.6 The Continuum of Participation

Sayles extends the field ontology into a doctrine of participation. All created entities exist as localized modulations of the same informational continuum. He writes:

"*The field is one, yet it dances in many patterns. Each atom is a note in the universal chord.*"

This musical analogy recalls *The Song of Reality*, another of Sayles's works, where harmony replaces mechanism as the master concept of creation. Participation is not absorption but resonance: each entity retains identity by echoing the fundamental tone of The Logos. This participatory vision bridges classical Christian metaphysics and quantum holism: being is communion.

3.7 Logos as Metaphysical Constant

At the summit of Sayles's argument stands a single assertion:

"*In the beginning was the field, and the field was with God, and the field was God made manifest as light.*"

This is no mere paraphrase of John 1:1 but a metaphysical restatement: The Logos is the ontological constant beneath all constants, the sustaining relation that makes both mathematics and matter possible. For Sayles, The Logos is not an object within the field but its very coherence. Without Him, equations would dissolve, perception would lose reference, and time would collapse into silence. Thus, theology does not merely interpret the cosmos—it guarantees its intelligibility.

3.8 Philosophical Resonances

The IΔF model draws implicit dialogue with several traditions:

- **Berkeley's Immaterialism:** Both insist that perception and being are sustained in divine mind, though Sayles retains objective reality through the covenantal continuity of the field.
- **Whitehead's Process Philosophy:** Sayles shares the dynamic vision of reality as event but anchors process in immutable Logos rather than impersonal creativity.
- **Wheeler's "Participatory Universe":** He accepts the insight that observation participates in existence but grounds it in theological participation, not human consciousness alone.

In each case, Sayles restores the theocentric orientation: the universe is participatory because it is communicative, and it is communicative because it proceeds from The Logos.

3.9 Epistemological Consequences

If light is the grammar of reality, then knowledge is an act of grammatical sympathy. Sayles writes:

"*To know is to enter resonance with the field of meaning; the mind becomes luminous by consonance, not conquest.*"

Here epistemology becomes worship: comprehension is co-illumination. Truth is not constructed but received. This stands in sharp contrast to Enlightenment autonomy; reason, in Sayles's model, is derivative radiance. Human intellect mirrors divine coherence by participation, not by invention.

3.10 Toward a Field Theology of Creation

Sayles closes this stage of his argument by turning physics into doxology:

"*In the field we see the humility of power—God veiling omnipotence as order. Creation is not the projection of force but the diffusion of meaning.*"

The IΔF is therefore sacramental: a created reflection of divine communicability. Its stability witnesses to providence; its transparency invites praise. By unveiling the field as ontological communion, Sayles

restores creation to its theological dignity: it is not a closed system but an opened Word.

4 The Logos and the Field of Meaning

"*Information without The Logos is incoherence; The Logos without information is unexpressed plenitude. Creation is their union—the Word articulated as world.*" — *S. C. Sayles, Light in the Information Field*

This single sentence, placed by Sayles near the centre of *Light in the Information Field*, stands as the key to his whole metaphysical system. It expresses a trinitarian symmetry between intention and manifestation, essence and expression. The Logos is fullness; the field is form; creation is their marriage. In these few words Sayles compresses the entire theology of mediation: the world is not divine, yet it is divinely uttered.

The challenge of modern thought, he suggests, lies in our failure to think relation as real. Materialism isolates, idealism abstracts, but both lose communion. The Logos-centred ontology restores relation as the fabric of reality itself.

4.1 The Logos as Ontological Source

The Logos, for Sayles, is not an organising concept but *the living structure of being itself.* Drawing on the prologue of John's Gospel, he insists that "*All things were made by Him; and without Him was not anything made that was made.*" In Sayles's reading, this is not theological poetry but ontological precision. Every instance of existence is a mode of participation in the creative Word. The Logos is both transcendent principle and immanent coherence—beyond the cosmos, yet present as its rational pulse.

He explains:

"*The Logos is not a being within the field but the intelligibility by which the field coheres. He is the relation that holds relation together.*"

Here Sayles adopts a position both Augustinian and modern. Like Augustine's *rationes seminales*, the Logos contains the archetypes of all things; like the quantum field, He permeates creation without division. In this metaphysical realism, every law of nature is a covenantal reflection of divine rationality.

4.2 Meaning as Participation

Sayles frequently returns to the notion of “participation,” not as poetic metaphor but as the very structure of knowledge and being. He writes:

“*To exist is to share in meaning; to understand is to return that meaning to its Source.*”

Participation is thus both ontological and epistemological. It is the mode by which creation remains real and yet dependent. Sayles follows the patristic insight that the creature possesses no autonomy of being; its independence is sustained relation, not separation.

In this framework, meaning is not projected by the mind but received through alignment. Consciousness does not impose order; it enters into the order already inscribed in the field. Every act of knowing is therefore a re-utterance—a re-speaking of the Word by which all things subsist. To know is to echo the Logos.

4.3 The Field as Sign and Sacrament

One of Sayles’s most striking formulations appears in the chapter “Radiance and Relation,” where he states:

“*The field is the sacrament of meaning—visible sign of invisible coherence.*”

This sacramental language does not blur the Creator–creation distinction; it clarifies it. The field is not God but His self-disclosure. It is the *signum* through which the *res* (divine reality) becomes perceptible. Sayles’s view thus aligns with the Reformed understanding of sacrament as “a visible word.” The cosmos itself becomes the universal sacrament: every photon an outward sign of inward Logos.

The theological implication is immense. If light itself is sacramental, then perception is participation in grace. The act of seeing is not neutral but covenantal. To see truly is to acknowledge that what is seen is sustained by divine speech. In Sayles’s words:

“*Every act of perception is a confession: the world exists because it is spoken.*”

4.4 Logos, Language, and Logic

Sayles draws a profound analogy between divine Logos, human language, and logical structure. The word *logos*, of course, carries all three senses—speech, reason, and order—and Sayles allows them to converge. He writes:

"*Language is the image of creation because creation itself is linguistic. Grammar is the echo of Genesis.*"

This analogy rescues logic from abstraction by rooting it in divine character. The laws of thought mirror the laws of being because both reflect The Logos. The principle of non-contradiction, for example, is not a human invention but a reflection of divine consistency: "God cannot deny Himself." Thus, rationality is theological, not anthropological. Reason is not autonomous, as Enlightenment philosophy claimed, but participatory—a light kindled from the Light that "lighteth every man that cometh into the world."

4.5 Semiotic Theology: The World as Text

Sayles's Logos-metaphysic naturally leads to a theology of sign. He insists that the created order is semiotic—structured for interpretation. Drawing upon the medieval *Liber Mundi* tradition, he writes:

"*The cosmos is Scripture in another tongue. The stars are words; the atoms are letters; the laws are grammar. Creation is not mute—it is the Word translated into matter.*"

In this semiotic realism, sign and thing are not arbitrary but analogical. Every created form signifies its Maker by participation. Augustine's principle, *"Omnis creatura vox Dei est"*—"Every creature is a word of God"—finds new articulation in Sayles's information ontology. Modern physics, by revealing the relational structure of matter, becomes an unintentional commentary on divine semiotics. Quantum fields are the subtext of the Logos.

4.6 Philosophical Parallels and Antitheses

Sayles's synthesis stands in contrast to both materialist nominalism and pantheistic monism. The former denies real universals; the latter dissolves distinction. His Logos-centred ontology preserves both unity and plurality. He writes:

"*The Logos is the unity of distinction, not the erasure of it. Creation is plural because God is triune.*"

This Trinitarian metaphysic guards against reductionism in any direction. Against nominalism, it asserts that relations are real; against monism, it insists that persons and particulars endure. The cosmos is not a swarm of atoms nor a diffused spirit but a harmony of relations reflecting the relational God.

In this balance, Sayles finds companionship with Augustine, Aquinas, and Calvin, and dialogue with modern thinkers like Whitehead, Bohm, and Polkinghorne. Yet he insists that theology must lead the synthesis. Physics may describe the field, but only theology discloses its meaning.

4.7 The Logos as Hermeneutic of Science

Sayles therefore calls for a hermeneutical reform of science. Scientific observation, in his view, must recover its confessional dimension. The aim is not to sacralise the laboratory but to reawaken its metaphysical depth. He writes:

"*Science is doxology mispronounced. When it pronounces rightly, the equation becomes a psalm.*"

This is not sentimental rhetoric but ontological realism. If the field is the medium of divine speech, then every discovery is an act of translation. The physicist is an exegete of the created Word. The distinction between theology and physics remains functional but not ultimate; both interpret different registers of the same Logos.

4.8 Logic, Light, and Love

At the heart of Sayles's theology is a profound union of epistemology and ethics. The Logos is not mere reason but reason in love—rationality suffused with will and goodness. He notes:

"*Light is intelligibility illuminated by benevolence. To see truly is to love rightly.*"

Knowledge divorced from love degenerates into domination; love divorced from knowledge dissolves into sentimentality. The Logos unites them as truth in charity. In this union, the epistemic and moral orders coincide. To distort reality is sin; to perceive it truthfully is obedience. Thus, illumination and sanctification become inseparable acts of grace.

4.9 Toward a Theology of Meaning

Sayles's Logos-centred cosmology culminates in a theology of meaning. Reality is not a neutral stage but an intelligible drama authored by God. Meaning is not imposed upon matter; it inheres within creation because creation is the articulation of the Word. The universe is therefore not absurd but hymnographic—a song of intelligible praise. He concludes:

"*Meaning is the light of being; to exist is to signify.*"

This conclusion restores coherence to metaphysics in an age of fragmentation. Against nihilism, Sayles offers luminosity; against skepticism, participation; against despair, intelligibility. The Logos, once again enthroned at the centre of ontology, shines through the field as the eternal grammar of reality.

5 Revelation as Radiance: The Theological Dimension

"*Light is not merely a phenomenon of physics; it is the first mode of revelation. 'Let there be light' is not the dawn of optics but the birth of intelligibility itself.*"
— S. C. Sayles, *Light in the Information Field*

In these lines Sayles crystallises the metaphysical and theological centre of his work. The biblical fiat lux is, for him, the primal moment when being became communicative—when creation ceased to be potential and became worded. Every photon, every pulse of coherence, is therefore a vestige of revelation; all illumination is participation in the primordial act of divine self-disclosure.

5.1 Creation as Revelation

Sayles begins with the scriptural conviction that creation is not mute but declarative. *"The heavens declare the glory of God,"* writes the psalmist, and Sayles reads this literally: the cosmos is an act of saying. In his words,

"*Creation does not resemble revelation; it is revelation expressed in physical syntax.*"

This claim is not pantheistic, for the created order is not divine essence but divine expression—finite participation in infinite reason. Sayles's Logos-centred metaphysic transforms cosmology into theology: light is the first epiphany of coherence, the self-manifestation of divine intelligibility in extension. To study it is to read a commentary written in energy.

5.2 Light and the Economy of Manifestation

Sayles situates the phenomenon of light within what he calls *the economy of manifestation*—the graduated unfolding of divine presence. Physical illumination prefigures spiritual illumination; the visibility of the world anticipates the visibility of Christ. He writes:

"*In the history of revelation, light moves from creation to incarnation to transfiguration. What physics measures is the shadow of that procession.*"

Here Sayles unites cosmology and Christology: the photon that reveals a landscape to the eye is analogical to the Incarnate Word who reveals God to the soul. The constancy of light's velocity, the law that governs every cosmic horizon, becomes a parable of covenantal faithfulness: "the same yesterday, and today, and for ever."

5.3 Scripture and Spectra

Sayles frequently interprets scientific terminology through biblical imagery. Spectrum, reflection, transparency—these become theological categories. *"The rainbow,"* he writes, *"is the covenant signature in the physics of light; dispersion is divine generosity—one white Word refracted into many colours of meaning."*

Such language exemplifies his method: neither allegory nor reductionism, but recognition of structural correspondence between revelation and reality. The laws of optics mirror the logic of grace. Just as light refracts through medium, revelation refracts through history; and as darkness cannot annihilate light, unbelief cannot extinguish revelation. The visible and the spiritual are two modalities of the same radiance.

5.4 Incarnation as Illumination

Sayles insists that the theology of light culminates in the Incarnation. The Logos who spoke the field becomes embodied within it.

"*The Light that generated the cosmos entered it as Person; illumination became presence.*"

Here metaphysics bends toward worship. The Word that called photons into being now walks among them, subjecting omnipotence to opacity so that finite eyes might behold infinity. The Incarnation thus completes the continuum of revelation: creation discloses the Logos in symbol; Christ discloses Him in substance. Physics reaches fulfilment in theology.

5.5 The Radiance of Redemption

For Sayles, redemption is not the interruption of creation but its illumination restored. Sin, in his system, is the obscuration of coherence—the eclipse of perception when the mind turns from the Logos. Salvation is therefore re-illumination:

“*Grace is the return of luminosity to consciousness. In redemption, the field is clarified; interference is cancelled; meaning is re-aligned with its Source.*”

This notion unites metaphysics and soteriology. The same Logos who upholds the coherence of atoms restores the coherence of the soul. Light becomes the emblem of justification: the sinner, once opaque, is made transparent to divine order. Revelation is not only cosmological but personal.

5.6 Patristic and Reformed Lineage

Sayles stands within a long Christian tradition that interprets light as divine presence. The Cappadocian Fathers spoke of uncreated light as theophany; Augustine described illumination as participation in eternal reason; Calvin taught that the knowledge of God is a beam of divine glory upon the mind. Sayles retrieves these insights, transposing them into the idiom of informational ontology. Where the Fathers spoke of illumination, he speaks of coherence; where Calvin wrote of revelation, he speaks of intelligibility. Yet the referent is the same: the gracious self-disclosure of the Logos.

He remarks:

“*The Reformers called it grace; the physicist calls it order. Both are the same miracle—divine faithfulness made measurable.*”

5.7 The Mind as Mirror and Medium

In Sayles’s anthropology, the human mind occupies a mediating place in the hierarchy of light. It is both mirror and medium.

“*Consciousness is not a lamp projecting images upon the world; it is a lens through which the world’s radiance is focused and returned to its Source.*”

This notion integrates epistemology with devotion. The intellect is designed not for domination but for reflection; knowing becomes a form of praise. The redeemed mind, clarified by grace, perceives the coherence that sin obscures. Thus, revelation is not external spectacle but inward illumination—the restoration of resonance between finite and infinite reason.

5.8 Darkness and Divine Hiddenness

Sayles does not sentimentalise light. He acknowledges that divine revelation always includes concealment. The field, though luminous, possesses gradients; the Logos, though self-revealing, remains infinite. He writes:

"*Revelation is always asymptotic: we approach the light that no creature can contain.*"

This dialectic preserves mystery within intelligibility. The darkness of unknowing is not absence but excess—the humility of finite intellect before infinite luminosity. For Sayles, such restraint is not failure but worship; it is the necessary shadow cast by transcendence.

5.9 Radiant Ethics

From revelation as radiance follows a theology of moral illumination. Truth, goodness, and beauty are not categories of value but modes of light. Moral evil, correspondingly, is distortion—refraction without fidelity. Sayles writes:

"*Sin bends the beam; love straightens it.*"

Hence ethics is physics transfigured: alignment with divine coherence. Virtue is resonance; vice is interference. The saints, in this cosmology, are transparent beings—souls in whom light passes without obstruction. The eschatological hope, then, is the full restoration of translucence: *'the glory of God gives it light, and the Lamb is the lamp thereof.'*

5.10 Revelation and Knowledge

Sayles finally returns to his governing thesis: that revelation and knowledge are two aspects of one event—the self-communication of The Logos. In human history this communication assumes scriptural form; in nature it assumes luminous form; in the soul it becomes contemplative union. To know truthfully is already to be illuminated by grace. He concludes:

"*Revelation is not the intrusion of the supernatural into the natural; it is the unveiling of what has always been supernaturally natural—the world suffused with meaning.*"

Thus, revelation is radiance: the intelligibility of creation restored to consciousness through the illuminating Word.

6 The Human Mind as Luminous Interface

“*Consciousness is the mirror of the field, not its author; it is light seeing light.*”
— S. C. Sayles, *Light in the Information Field*

From this succinct aphorism unfolds an entire metaphysical anthropology. Sayles regards the human soul not as an autonomous intellect standing over reality, but as a locus of resonance within the divine field of meaning. The mind, in his system, is both instrument and image — a luminous aperture through which the Logos interprets creation to itself.

6.1 The Imago as Interface

Sayles grounds his doctrine of consciousness in Genesis 1:27: *“So God created man in His own image.”* The *imago Dei* is interpreted informationally: the human mind is configured for coherence because it reflects the structure of the Logos. He writes,

“*The imago is not likeness in substance but likeness in intelligibility. The soul is formatted for truth.*”

Thus, cognition is not evolutionary accident but metaphysical vocation. Humanity is created to read, interpret, and echo divine meaning. The intellect is the appointed interface where the informational order of creation meets self-awareness.

This restores to anthropology what modern secularism has lost: teleology. To think is to worship, for every act of comprehension participates in the intelligibility of God.

6.2 Against Materialist Reduction

Sayles’s field anthropology stands in direct opposition to materialist accounts of consciousness. Physicalism, he argues, commits the category error of mistaking medium for message. Neural activity, chemical flux, and computational analogy can describe the conduit, never the content. He remarks,

“*Synapses do not think; they transmit the possibility of thought. Meaning arises only where light reflects upon itself.*”

This “self-reflection of light” is consciousness — the field becoming aware of its own coherence through participation in the Logos. It is not emergence from complexity but illumination by relation. Hence, reductionism cannot capture awareness because awareness precedes the

possibility of reduction. Consciousness, in Sayles's theology, is the epistemic signature of divine communion.

6.3 Mind and Field — Reciprocal Illumination

In the IΔF framework, mind and cosmos form a reciprocal pair: the field sustains the mind, and the mind interprets the field. Sayles likens this to the relation between light and mirror.

"*A mirror does not create the sun, yet without it the sun is unseen in the chamber.*"

The human soul, therefore, is the necessary site of revelation's completion. The Logos speaks creation into being; consciousness replies by perceiving it. Between utterance and understanding lies the dialogue of existence. Knowledge is not extraction but resonance — the sympathetic vibration of the finite within the infinite.

6.4 Hierarchies of Luminosity

Sayles often portrays reality as a hierarchy of luminosity. Matter is dimmed meaning; life is animated brightness; consciousness is reflected glory. The scale does not measure worth but depth of participation. Each level retains light proportionate to its openness to The Logos. He explains:

"*Stone glows in obedience, tree in growth, man in understanding. All shine, but not all behold.*"

This hierarchy restores cosmic dignity without collapsing difference. The universe becomes a cathedral of light in which each order of being refracts divine radiance according to its capacity. The human mind, placed near the summit of the created hierarchy, is called to contemplative stewardship — to behold and articulate the coherence entrusted to it.

6.5 The Second Shamay and the Interior Field

In related writings, Sayles describes the "Second Shamay" — the metaphysical realm of mind and spirit. Here he clarifies that this interior heaven is not separate from the field but its personal expression.

"*Within the soul the field becomes reflective, folded inward without being divided. The Second Shamay is the mirror of creation inside the creature.*"

Through this doctrine, anthropology and cosmology converge. The field that binds galaxies also binds thoughts; coherence is seamless from quark

to contemplation. The Second Shamay thus functions as microcosm, the field translated into consciousness. The inward and outward are two horizons of one illumination.

6.6 Epistemic Grace

Because knowledge itself depends on participation, Sayles speaks of "epistemic grace." Understanding is not achieved but received. He observes,

"*The light of the mind is borrowed. The intellect is a candle lit from the eternal flame.*"

This conception transforms epistemology into devotion. Doubt becomes darkness, not moral failure but absence of radiance; faith becomes re-alignment with the Source. Hence theology and cognition are inseparable: the act of knowing is already a mode of grace. The scientist, the theologian, and the poet share the same calling — to receive and articulate the coherence shining through creation.

6.7 Memory and Imprint

Sayles proposes that consciousness retains a "memory of coherence," a residual awareness of the Logos even in alienation. He writes,

"*Every act of remembering is a spark from the primordial illumination. We recall because we were first addressed.*"

This view unites metaphysics with soteriology: the human longing for truth is not evolutionary curiosity but homesickness for divine intelligibility. Memory is metaphysical — the trace of the Word within the creature, the echo of "Let there be light" resounding through the corridors of the soul.

6.8 Sin as Cognitive Eclipse

Within this luminous anthropology, sin appears as distortion rather than defiance. Sayles calls it "cognitive eclipse": the turning of the soul away from coherence toward self-generated illusion.

"*The will that seeks autonomy cuts its own light; it prefers the shadow it casts to the sun that gives it being.*"

Here, the moral and epistemic orders coincide. Error and evil are intertwined: to misperceive reality is already to depart from righteousness, for truth and holiness share one illumination. Redemption,

therefore, is not merely moral restoration but perceptual regeneration — the reopening of the intellect to divine radiance.

6.9 Christ and the Renewed Mind

Sayles anchors this renewal in Christ, the incarnate Logos. Quoting Romans 12:2, he writes,

"*Be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind — not by new information but by restored illumination.*"

The believer's mind becomes a re-calibrated instrument, tuned again to the frequency of truth. The Spirit re-orders the field within the soul, cancelling interference, restoring resonance. Illumination thus becomes sanctification: to know the truth is to be conformed to it. Theology and psychology converge in worship.

6.10 The Eschatology of Light

Finally, Sayles envisions the consummation of human consciousness in perfect transparency:

"*When the veil of perception is lifted, the eye will no longer see by light but as light.*"

This is the destiny of redeemed intellect — not dissolution into divine essence but participation without obstruction. The mind will remain distinct yet utterly luminous, reflecting without distortion the coherence of The Logos. Knowledge and worship, thought and love, will be one act of unbroken radiance.

Through this Logos-centred anthropology, Sayles unites cosmology, epistemology, and soteriology in a single luminous arc. The field is not only physical substrate but spiritual habitat; the human mind is its reflective chamber, and consciousness is the song of light within.

7 The Redemption of Physics

"*Physics must remember that it was born from metaphysics and will only be redeemed by returning there.*"
— S. C. Sayles, *Light in the Information Field*

Sayles's challenge to modern science is not rejection but recall. The laboratory and the sanctuary, long estranged, once shared a single premise—that reality is intelligible because it is created. Physics, he

argues, fell into exile when it mistook method for metaphysic. Its redemption will come not by retreat from precision but by rediscovering purpose. The field of forces must again be read as the field of meaning.

7.1 The Fall of Cosmology

The “fall” of physics, in Sayles’s narrative, parallels the fall of man: the turn from communion to autonomy.

“*When science claimed self-sufficiency, it repeated the first disobedience—desiring to know apart from the Giver of knowledge.*”

The Enlightenment’s triumph of method concealed an ontological loss. To quantify is not to comprehend. Matter became mute, stripped of its sacramental voice. Yet the very success of physics—in exposing ever deeper layers of relational order—betrays its hidden dependence on The Logos. Every equation is an echo of the Word it refuses to name.

7.2 Law as Covenant

For Sayles, the laws of nature are not mechanical decrees but covenantal promises.

“*A law is faithfulness measured; it is the regularity of grace.*”

Constancy is therefore theological. When light travels at the same speed in every frame, the universe confesses divine consistency. Gravitation is communion; electromagnetism is correspondence. To discover a constant is to witness a covenant. Thus, scientific law is not the limit of miracle but the shape of perpetual mercy.

7.3 Re-reading the Void

Modern cosmology often begins with emptiness—with the vacuum, the singularity, or the quantum foam. Sayles interprets these not as nihil but as veiled plenitude. “*The vacuum is structured speech,*” he writes; *“it hums with the unspoken Name.”* The physicist measures fluctuations; the theologian hears resonance. In either case, nothingness proves fertile. Redemption of physics begins when the void is recognised as pregnant with presence. The universe’s silence is not absence but reverent pause between divine syllables.

7.4 Entropy and Hope

Entropy, long taken as the emblem of decay, becomes in Sayles's vision a paradoxical sacrament of hope.

"*Dispersal is not defeat but distribution; the fire of creation spreads to every corner.*"

Thermodynamics thus describes not cosmic exhaustion but generosity. The second law is a reminder that creation shares its energy, giving itself away in imitation of its Maker. The ultimate equilibrium is not thermal death but universal communion—every particle resting in the harmony of the Logos. Redemption, then, is not reversal of entropy but its transfiguration into sharing.

7.5 The Physicist as Priest

Sayles restores to scientific vocation its liturgical dignity. The physicist, he suggests, stands before the mysteries of matter as a priest before the altar: to observe is to participate in praise.

"*Measurement is modern worship—when done with wonder.*"

This is no romantic metaphor. Precision itself, rightly ordered, is piety: attention that acknowledges intelligibility as gift. The true experiment is an act of reverence, a disciplined listening to the Logos speaking through phenomena. The scientific method, cleansed of pride, becomes a form of confession: it declares that reality is rational because Reality is Reason.

7.6 Mathematics as Doxology

For Sayles, mathematics is the purest hymn of creation—soundless music composed of relation. He quotes Pythagoras and Augustine alike: *"Number is the language of the divine artisan."*

"*Every equation is a psalm without syllables; the integral is the prostration of variables before unity.*"

In the redemption of physics, mathematics is re-sanctified. Its symbols become icons, its symmetries sacraments. The mathematician, like the Psalmist, counts not to control but to adore.

7.7 Christ and the Cosmos

The restoration of science culminates, for Sayles, in Christology. The field that science measures is none other than the Word through whom all things were made. Hence, the redemption of physics is already

accomplished in the cross, where the Logos reconciled all things—visible and invisible. The crucifixion, he writes, *“is the moment when coherence entered collapse and restored it from within.”* Gravity itself bows before grace; the constants are constant because the Covenant is kept.

7.8 Toward a Theology of Inquiry

Sayles envisions a future science that is contemplative rather than competitive. Its laboratories will resemble cloisters: places of patience, silence, and praise.

“*The redeemed physicist does not seek power but pattern; his reward is not control but comprehension.*”

Knowledge becomes communion; discovery becomes gratitude. The empirical and the mystical, once sundered, meet again in humility before the same light. In that reunion, physics finds its true purpose—to reveal, not to replace, the Logos.

7.9 The Cosmic Liturgy

In Sayles’s eschatological imagination, the entire cosmos participates in an unending liturgy of coherence. Stars burn as candles, fields resonate as choirs, space itself expands like a rising hymn. “*The universe is the cathedral of the Word,*” he writes, “*and physics its acoustics.*” Redemption, therefore, is not escape from matter but its consecration. The field is healed when it is heard as music. The end of science is song.

7.10 Conclusion: Knowledge as Restoration

Sayles concludes *Light in the Information Field* with these words:

“*The Logos does not ask us to choose between faith and knowledge. He redeems knowledge so that faith may be intelligent and intelligence faithful.*”

This is the redemptive synthesis his entire project seeks: reason transfigured by revelation, revelation understood through reason, and reality restored to its rightful coherence in the Light that is both source and goal. Physics, thus redeemed, becomes theology’s most rigorous hymn—truth made measurable, glory rendered luminous.

8 Conclusion — Toward a Theology of Light

“*Light is the language in which being speaks of its Source.*”
— S. C. Sayles, *Light in the Information Field*

8.1 The Return of Light

Sayles’s vision begins and ends with illumination. What physics measures as wave and quantum, theology receives as word and grace. The history of science has been a long exile from meaning, but Sayles perceives in it a providential pilgrimage: even while the vocabulary changed from aether to field to information, the substance of revelation endured. “*The aether died in experiment,*” he writes, “*but the need for a substrate lived on.*” That need, he shows, is metaphysical—the longing for coherence, intelligibility, relation. The recovery of light as revelation is therefore the recovery of purpose: creation once again read as communication.

8.2 The Unity of Truth

The central confession of Sayles’s Logos-centred metaphysic is that truth is one because its Author is one. Reason, revelation, and reality are not rival domains but concentric radiances around the same centre. The Logos is the intelligible unity that binds every equation to every confession. Science without theology loses meaning; theology without science loses magnitude. When both are re-illumined by the Logos, they form a single act of praise—rationality sanctified.

“*To know truly is already to pray; to measure faithfully is to adore.*”

The scientist and the theologian therefore labour in the same light. Their instruments differ, their tones diverge, yet both trace the grammar of the same Logos whose Word sustains the field.

8.3 Light as Ontology

Sayles’s ontology of light reverses the modern hierarchy between matter and meaning. Matter, he insists, is condensed intelligibility; energy is the motion of meaning; light is being made luminous. Hence ontology itself must be rewritten in photic terms. Being is illumination; non-being is opacity. The Logos, as “Light of light,” is the eternal act of intelligibility—God knowing and giving Himself. Creation, as derivative radiance, participates in that act. To exist is to shine with borrowed glory.

8.4 Epistemology Transfigured

In this luminous universe, knowledge ceases to be possession and becomes participation. The mind does not seize truth; it receives alignment with coherence. "*Understanding is not conquest,*" Sayles writes, "*but consonance.*" The scholar, the mystic, and the child stand equal before the same illumination. Faith and reason are not opposites but phases of perception: faith sees by trust what reason later describes by law. Revelation provides the light; reason describes its refraction.

8.5 The Ethics of Illumination

If being and knowing are luminous, so too must be living. Sayles derives from his theology of light a radiant ethic: sin is shadow, virtue translucence. Every moral act either clarifies or clouds the field of relation. "*Love is the transparency of will to truth,*" he remarks. Hence holiness is not withdrawal but lucidity—life lived without distortion, every intention aligned with the luminous coherence of the Logos. The saint is the most transparent creature: light passes through him undiminished.

8.6 Christ the Luminous Centre

All illumination converges upon the Person of Christ. The Logos who spoke light into being entered light's own domain to redeem it. Sayles writes:

"*The photon that binds the galaxies and the Word that binds redemption are one act of fidelity.*"

In the Incarnation, divine radiance assumes material texture; the eternal wave collapses into historical particle. Yet even in finitude the coherence remains unbroken. On the cross, darkness covers the land, but that darkness is paradoxically the moment of greatest revelation—the light passing through death into transfigured glory. The resurrection is, for Sayles, the final proof that the field is moral: coherence triumphs over chaos; relation over rupture.

8.7 Creation as Continuous Revelation

Sayles often insists that creation did not end on the sixth day but continues as perpetual utterance. "*The Word once spoken never falls silent,*" he writes. The field is still being sung. Every quantum event is a syllable of ongoing creation, every discovery an act of hearing. Thus revelation is not confined to Scripture but diffused through all that exists. Yet Scripture remains the lens through which the illumination is focused.

The Bible interprets the cosmos; the cosmos confirms the Bible. Both shine from the same Logos.

8.8 The Trinitarian Field

Underlying the metaphysics of light is a trinitarian pattern. The Father is the source, the Son the radiance, the Spirit the diffusion of light through the field. Creation mirrors this triune structure: energy, form, and relation correspond to procession, generation, and inspiration. Sayles observes,

"*Light is triune by nature: source, wave, and illumination. The physics confesses what the creed proclaims.*"

In this symmetry, theology finds its cosmic echo; the equations of the universe whisper the doxology of the Trinity.

8.9 Darkness as Mystery

Yet the theology of light would be incomplete without reverence for darkness. Sayles warns against intellectual idolatry—the temptation to mistake knowledge for possession. The infinite radiance of God must forever transcend creaturely sight. "*We see in light, not the Light Itself,*" he writes. Darkness is not denial but safeguard: the shadow that protects the finite eye from unbearable glory. True wisdom includes holy ignorance, the humility to let mystery remain luminous without exposure.

8.10 The Eschatology of Light

Sayles ends where revelation itself ends—in glory. The consummation of creation is not annihilation but total transparency. "*When every particle becomes window and not wall,*" he writes, "*then God shall be all in all.*" In that final illumination, physics and theology will no longer be distinct; every wavelength will be praise, every constant a hymn. The field will be pure radiance, the redeemed cosmos a sea of crystal reflecting its Creator.

The human intellect, purified of distortion, will see not merely by light but *as* light—participating without obstruction in the infinite coherence of the Logos. The long exile of knowledge will end; understanding will become adoration.

8.11 Final Reflection

Sayles's *Light in the Information Field* is therefore not only a metaphysical treatise but a confession of wonder. It summons science back to worship, philosophy back to humility, and theology back to creation. Light, in his hands, becomes the master key of reality—simultaneously physical constant, metaphysical principle, and theological symbol.

To read his work is to recover a forgotten unity: the scientist's curiosity, the philosopher's logic, and the believer's reverence joined in a single gaze toward intelligible glory. The final word, fittingly, belongs to Sayles himself:

"*Light is the only adequate metaphor for truth because it is the only creature that hides nothing and yet blinds by excess. In its radiance we discover the splendour of order, the tenderness of revelation, and the humility of God who lets Himself be seen.*"

Bibliography

Primary Works by S. C. Sayles

Sayles, S. C. *Light in the Information Field: Physics, Philosophy, and The Logos.*
Morecambe: Evolsiay Tulip, 2025.

———. *The Song of Reality: The Architecture of Creation.* Evolsiay Tulip, 2024.

———. *The Cosmic Code: The Logos-Centred Cosmology.* Evolsiay Tulip, 2024.

———. *The Rational Universe: Philosophical Cosmology.* Evolsiay Tulip, 2023.

———. *The Second Shamay: A Theological and Philosophical Investigation of the Realm of Mind and Spirit.* Evolsiay Tulip, 2023.

———. *Veritas Confirmata: The Logos-Centred Ontology (Vol. IV).* Evolsiay Tulip, 2024.

———. *Propositional Theology: The Logos-Centred Doctrine.* Evolsiay Tulip, 2025.

———. *Reason, Revelation, and Reality (Vol. I – Reason and Revelation).* Evolsiay Tulip, 2025.

Biblical and Classical Sources

The Holy Bible. Authorized King James Version. Cambridge Edition, 1769.

Aristotle. *Metaphysics.* Trans. W. D. Ross. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1924.

Augustine of Hippo. *Confessions.* Trans. Henry Chadwick. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991.

———. *De Trinitate (On the Trinity).* Trans. Edmund Hill. Brooklyn: New City Press, 1991.

Aquinas, Thomas. *Summa Theologica.* Trans. Fathers of the English Dominican Province. New York: Benziger Bros., 1947.

Berkeley, George. *A Treatise Concerning the Principles of Human Knowledge.* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.

Calvin, John. *Institutes of the Christian Religion.* Trans. Henry Beveridge. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1989 (reprint).

Heraclitus. *Fragments.* Trans. T. M. Robinson. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1987.

Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm. *Monadology.* Trans. Nicholas Rescher. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 1991.

Plato. *Republic.* Trans. G. M. A. Grube and C. D. C. Reeve. Indianapolis: Hackett Publishing, 1992.

Modern and Contemporary Sources

Bohm, David. *Wholeness and the Implicate Order.* London: Routledge, 1980.

Einstein, Albert. *Relativity: The Special and the General Theory.* London: Methuen, 1916.

Heisenberg, Werner. *Physics and Philosophy: The Revolution in Modern Science.* New York: Harper & Row, 1958.

Maxwell, James Clerk. *A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism.* Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1873.

Planck, Max. *Scientific Autobiography and Other Papers.* London: Williams and Norgate, 1950.

Shannon, Claude E., and Warren Weaver. *The Mathematical Theory of Communication.* Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1949.

Whitehead, Alfred North. *Process and Reality: An Essay in Cosmology.* Ed. David Ray Griffin and Donald W. Sherburne. New York: Free Press, 1978.

Wheeler, John Archibald. “Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search for Links.” In *Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information*, ed. Wojciech H. Zurek, 3–28. Redwood City: Addison–Wesley, 1990.

Philosophical and Theological

Henry, Carl F. H. *God, Revelation and Authority.* 6 vols. Waco: Word Books, 1976–1983.

Schaeffer, Francis A. *The God Who Is There.* Downers Grove: InterVarsity Press, 1968.

Van Til, Cornelius. *The Defense of the Faith.* 4th ed. Phillipsburg: Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing, 2008.

Polkinghorne, John. *The Faith of a Physicist.* Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1994.

Wittgenstein, Ludwig. *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus.* Trans. C. K. Ogden. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1922.

Supplementary References

Bohme, Gernot. *Ethics in Context: The Art of Dealing with Serious Questions.* London: Routledge, 2001.

Polanyi, Michael. *Personal Knowledge: Toward a Post-Critical Philosophy.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1958.

Swinburne, Richard. *The Coherence of Theism.* Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1977.